

The attached Declaration of Patent Attorney shows that the present invention was made prior to the February 22, 2000 issuance date of the Jetton patent.

Accordingly, Jetton also cannot qualify as § 102(a) prior art. It does not appear that Jetton would fall under any other category of prior art. Accordingly, withdrawal of the § 103 rejection over Jetton is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing remarks and the accompanying Declarations, the entire Application is believed to be in condition for allowance and an indication to that effect is respectfully requested.


If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this paper that have not been accounted for in this paper or the accompanying papers, please charge the fees to Deposit Account No. 12-2252. If an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is required for the filing of this paper and is not accounted for in this paper or the accompanying papers, such an extension is requested and the fee (or any underpayment thereof) should also be charged to the Deposit Account. A duplicate copy of this page is enclosed for that purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

MITCHELL, SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

Dated: July 1, 2003

By


Joseph G. Swan
Registration No. 41,338

MITCHELL, SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP
11377 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90064
Telephone: (310) 312-2000
Facsimile: (310) 312-3100